

Vertex algebras associated with elliptic affine Lie algebras

Haisheng Li and Jiancai Sun
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Rutgers University, Camden, NJ 08102

Abstract

We associate elliptic affine Lie algebras with what are called vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebras and their modules in a certain category. In the course, we construct two families of Lie algebras closely related to elliptic affine Lie algebras.

1 Introduction

Elliptic affine Lie algebras, similar to affine Lie algebras, are a family of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras associated with finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras. Both elliptic affine Lie algebras and affine Lie algebras are special examples of general Krichever-Novikov algebras (see [KN1], [KN2]). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Associated to $\mathfrak{g}$, one has an (untwisted) affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}$, which is the universal central extension of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. Let R be the quotient algebra of the (commutative and associative) algebra $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}, u]$ modulo the relation $u^2 = t^3 - 2\beta t^2 + t$, where β is a fixed complex number. The elliptic affine Lie algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$, which is alternatively denoted by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ in this current paper, is the universal (three-dimensional) central extension of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes R$ (see [Br], [BCF]). Elliptic affine Lie algebras are different from ordinary affine Lie algebras in several ways. For example, unlike that affine Lie algebras are naturally $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, elliptic affine Lie algebras are only “quasi-graded.” Nevertheless, a “highest weight module” theory has been developed in [S1], [S2], and a free field realization for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ has been obtained in [BCF].

In this paper, we study elliptic affine Lie algebras in the context of vertex algebras and their modules. As our main result, we associate elliptic affine Lie algebras with what were called vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebras in [Li6] and their modules of a certain type.

It has been long known (see [Bo], [FLM]; cf. [FZ], [DL]) that affine Lie algebras $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ can be canonically associated with vertex algebras. This association can be described as follows: For any complex number ℓ , let $\mathbb{C}_\ell$ denote the 1-dimensional $(\mathfrak{g}[t] + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k})$ -module with $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ acting trivially and with $\mathbf{k}$ acting as scalar ℓ . Let $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ denote the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module induced from $(\mathfrak{g}[t] + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k})$ -module $\mathbb{C}_\ell$. Set $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes 1 \in V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$. We have that $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}[t]\mathbf{1} = 0$. Furthermore, there exists an operator d on $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ such that $d\mathbf{1} = 0$ and

$$[d, a(x)] = \frac{d}{dx}a(x) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $a(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n)x^{-n-1}$. This $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ is often referred in literature as the (universal) level- ℓ vacuum module. The fact is (see [FZ], cf. [LL]) that there exists a unique vertex algebra structure on $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ with $\mathbf{1}$ as the vacuum vector and with $Y(a(-1)\mathbf{1}, x) = a(x)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore, for any restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module W of level ℓ , there exists a unique $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module structure $Y_W : V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$, such that $Y_W(a(-1)\mathbf{1}, x) = a(x)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. On the other hand, for any $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module (W, Y_W) , W is a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module of level ℓ with

$$a(x) = Y_W(a(-1)\mathbf{1}, x) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

This correspondence provides an isomorphism between the category of restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of level ℓ and the category of $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -modules.

As for elliptic affine Lie algebras, the situation is different in an essential way. First of all, as it is shown in Section 3, elliptic affine Lie algebras do *not* admit nontrivial (suitably defined) vacuum modules. Then we have to find an alternative. Motivated by the conceptual construction of vertex algebras and their modules in [Li1], we consider restricted modules W for elliptic affine Lie algebras in the sense that all the generating functions of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ lie in $\text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$. (Note that elliptic affine Lie algebras do admit nontrivial restricted modules.) For any restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -module W , the generating functions form a local subset of $\text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$, just as with affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, and it follows from [Li1] that the generating functions generate a vertex algebra V_W with W as a canonical module. However, the vertex algebra V_W is not a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -module under the canonical action, unlike the case for affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. To a certain extent, this phenomenon is similar to what we have experienced in [Li2] for twisted affine Lie algebras, where the generating functions of a twisted affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ on a restricted module generate a vertex algebra which under the canonical action is a module for the *untwisted* affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, but *not* for the twisted affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$.

We then introduce another Lie algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ over the field $\mathbb{C}((z))$, where z is a formal variable. To a certain extent, Lie algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ is a deformation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$. The good thing about this new Lie algebra is that $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ viewed as a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ admits vacuum modules. For every complex number ℓ , using induced module construction we construct a universal vacuum module $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_e}(\ell, 0)$ and we prove that there exists a canonical vertex algebra structure on this vacuum module. Though $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_e}(\ell, 0)$ is also a $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -module, it is *not* a vertex algebra over the field $\mathbb{C}((z))$. The vertex algebra structure and the $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -module structure on $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_e}(\ell, 0)$ are encoded into a structure of a so-called vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra.

The notion of vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra is a special case of the notion of weak quantum vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra, which was introduced in [Li6]. A *vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra* is simply a vertex algebra V over $\mathbb{C}$, equipped with a $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -module structure such that

$$Y(f(z)u, x)(g(z)v) = f(z+x)g(z)Y(u, x)v$$

for $f(z), g(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$, $u, v \in V$. (Note that as the map Y is not $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -linear, a vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra is *not* a vertex algebra over the field $\mathbb{C}((z))$.) For a vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra V , we define (see [Li6]) a *type zero V -module* to be a module (W, Y_W) for V viewed as a vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, satisfying

$$Y_W(f(z)v, x) = f(x)Y_W(v, x) \quad \text{for } f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z)), v \in V.$$

As for elliptic affine Lie algebras, we prove that a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -module structure of level ℓ on a vector space W over $\mathbb{C}$ is equivalent to a type zero $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e}(\ell, 0)$ -module structure.

In fact, what we have done in this paper is more general. We start with a (possibly infinite-dimensional) Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. For any polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, we construct a Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ with underlying vector space

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p = (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k},$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \mathfrak{g}$ as a vector space. When $p(x) = x^3 - 2\beta x^2 + x$, $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ is isomorphic to the quotient algebra of the elliptic affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ modulo a two-dimensional central ideal. We also construct another Lie algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ over $\mathbb{C}((z))$ with underlying vector space

$$\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p = \mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}((z))\mathbf{k}.$$

For any complex number ℓ , we construct a (universal) vacuum $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ of level ℓ and we show that there exists a canonical vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra structure on $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$. Furthermore, we establish a canonical isomorphism between the category of type zero $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ -modules and the category of restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -modules of level ℓ .

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall from [Li6] the basic notions and results, including the definition of a vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra and that of a module for a vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra, and including the conceptual construction of vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebras and their modules. We also study vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebras associated to a certain Heisenberg Lie algebra. In Section 3, we associate Lie algebras $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ and $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ to a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form and a polynomial $p(x)$, and we construct a vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ for every complex number ℓ . We then establish an isomorphism between the category of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -modules of level ℓ and the category of type zero $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ -modules.

2 Vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebras and their modules

In this section, we first recall from [Li6] the notion of vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebra and two categories of modules for a vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebra, and we then study an infinite-dimensional Heisenberg-type Lie algebra in the context of vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebras and their modules.

In this paper, the scalar field will be the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers, unless it is specified otherwise. We shall use the formal variable notations and conventions as established in [FLM] (cf. [LL]). For any formal variable t , let $\mathbb{C}((t))$ denote the ring of lower truncated formal Laurent series. In fact, $\mathbb{C}((t))$ is a field. The following notion is a special case of the notion of weak quantum vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebra which was introduced and studied in [Li6]:

Definition 2.1. A *vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebra* is a vertex algebra V over $\mathbb{C}$, equipped with a $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -module structure such that

$$Y(f(t)u, x)g(t)v = f(t+x)g(t)Y(u, x)v \quad (2.1)$$

for $f(t), g(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t))$, $u, v \in V$, where $f(t+x) = e^{x\frac{d}{dt}}f(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t))[[x]]$.

Note that in Section 3, due to a notion conflict we shall use z instead of t and we then shall be dealing with vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebras. For vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebras, the following two categories of modules are of our interest.

Definition 2.2. Let V be a vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebra. A *type one V -module* is a module (W, Y_W) for V viewed as a vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, equipped a $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -module structure such that

$$Y_W(f(t)u, x)g(t)w = f(t+x)g(t)Y_W(u, x)w \quad (2.2)$$

for $f(t), g(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t))$, $u \in V$, $w \in W$. A *type zero V -module* is a module (W, Y_W) for V viewed as a vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$Y_W(f(t)u, x)w = f(x)Y_W(u, x)w \quad (2.3)$$

for $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t))$, $u \in V$, $w \in W$.

Let W be a general vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Set

$$\mathcal{E}(W) = \text{Hom}(W, W((x))) \subset (\text{End}W)[[x, x^{-1}]].$$

For $a(x), b(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W)$, we say $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ are *local* if there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$(x-z)^k a(x)b(z) = (x-z)^k b(z)a(x).$$

We say a subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is *local* if $a(x), b(x)$ are local for any $a(x), b(x) \in U$. For $a(x), b(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $a(x)_n b(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W)$ by

$$a(x)_n b(x) = \text{Res}_{x_1} ((x_1 - x)^n a(x_1)b(x) - (-x + x_1)^n b(x)a(x_1)). \quad (2.4)$$

It was proved in [Li1] that any local subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ generates a vertex algebra $\langle U \rangle$, where the identity operator 1_W on W is the vacuum vector, and W is a faithful $\langle U \rangle$ -module with $Y_W(a(x), z) = a(z)$ for $a(x) \in \langle U \rangle$.

Remark 2.3. For $a(x), b(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W)$, the definition of $a(x)_n b(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ was modified in [Li5] (cf. [Li3]). Since we shall use some results of [Li5], we here recall the connection between the two definitions. Assume

$$f(x, z)a(x)b(z) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x, z)))$$

for some nonzero polynomial $f(x, z)$. Then

$$(f(x_1, x)a(x_1)b(x))|_{x_1=x+x_0} \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x))[[x_0]]).$$

Let ι_{x, x_0} denote the unique field embedding of $\mathbb{C}(x, x_0)$ into $\mathbb{C}((x))((x_0))$, extending the identity endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[x, x_0]$, where $\mathbb{C}(x, x_0)$ denotes the field of rational functions. Then $a(x)_n b(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ were defined in [Li5] in terms of generating function

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)b(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(x)_n b(x) x_0^{-n-1}$$

by

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)b(x) = \iota_{x, x_0}(1/f(x + x_0, x)) (f(x_1, x)a(x_1)b(x))|_{x_1=x+x_0}. \quad (2.5)$$

It was shown therein that if $a(x), b(x)$ are local, then this definition coincides with the definition (2.4). However, the two definitions give different objects in general.

The following, which was proved in [Li1], is very useful in determining the structure of vertex algebras generated by local subsets:

Proposition 2.4. *Let V be a vertex algebra, let $u, v, w^{(0)}, \dots, w^{(k)} \in V$, and let (W, Y_W) be a V -module. If*

$$[Y(u, x_1), Y(v, x_2)] = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{1}{i!} Y(w^{(i)}, x_2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^i x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2} \right)$$

holds on V , which is equivalent to

$$u_i v = w^{(i)} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } u_i v = 0 \text{ for } i > k,$$

then

$$[Y_W(u, x_1), Y_W(v, x_2)] = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{1}{i!} Y_W(w^{(i)}, x_2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^i x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2} \right)$$

holds on W . If W is a faithful V -module, then the converse is also true.

Notice that for $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x))$, $a(x) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$,

$$f(x)a(x) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x))).$$

Then $\text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$ is naturally a $\mathbb{C}((x))$ -module. We consider $\mathcal{E}(W)$ as a $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -module with

$$f(t)a(x) = f(x)a(x) \quad \text{for } f(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t)), a(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W). \quad (2.6)$$

A subspace U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is said to be *closed* if

$$a(x)_n b(x) \in U \quad \text{for } a(x), b(x) \in U, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We have (see [Li6]):

Proposition 2.5. *Let W be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Any closed local $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -subspace V of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, containing 1_W , is a vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebra, and W is a faithful type zero V -module with $Y_W(a(x), z) = a(z)$ for $a(x) \in V$. On the other hand, for any local subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, $\mathbb{C}((t))\langle U \rangle$ is a vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebra with W as a type zero module, where $\langle U \rangle$ is the vertex algebra (over $\mathbb{C}$) generated by U .*

The following follows immediately from [Li1]:

Proposition 2.6. *Let V be a vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebra, let W be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$, and let $Y_W : V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$ be a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map. Set*

$$U = \{Y_W(v, x) \mid v \in V\} \subset \mathcal{E}(W).$$

Then (W, Y_W) carries the structure of a type zero V -module if and only if U is local and Y_W is a homomorphism of vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebras from V to $\mathbb{C}((t))\langle U \rangle$.

Next, using the rest of this section we present a toy example of vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebras.

Definition 2.7. Let $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]$. We define an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}(f)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with generators c, β_n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, subject to relations

$$\begin{aligned} [c, \mathcal{H}(f)] &= 0, \\ [\beta(z), \beta(w)] &= \frac{1}{2}f'(w)z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right)c + f(w)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right)c, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $\beta(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_n x^{-n-1}$ and $z^{-1}\delta(w/z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} w^n z^{-n-1}$.

It is straightforward to show that c and β_n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ form a basis of $\mathcal{H}(f)$. We say that an $\mathcal{H}(f)$ -module W is of *level* $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ if c acts on W as scalar ℓ , and we say that an $\mathcal{H}(f)$ -module W is *restricted* if for any $w \in W$, $\beta_n w = 0$ for n sufficiently large. Let W be a restricted $\mathcal{H}(f)$ -module of level ℓ . From the defining relation (2.7), we have

$$(z - w)^2[\beta(z), \beta(w)] = 0.$$

Then $\{\beta(x)\}$ is a local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. In view of Proposition 2.5, $\beta(x)$ generates a vertex algebra V_W . To determine the structure of V_W completely we shall need another Lie algebra.

Definition 2.8. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$. We define a Lie algebra $K(\ell)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with a basis $\{\tilde{\beta}_n, \tilde{c}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where the Lie bracket relations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{c}_n, K(\ell)] &= 0, \\ [\tilde{\beta}_m, \tilde{\beta}_n] &= \frac{\ell}{2}(m-n)\tilde{c}_{m+n-1} \quad \text{for } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

(It is straightforward to see that this indeed defines a Lie algebra.)

Form generating functions

$$\tilde{\beta}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\beta}_n x^{-n-1}, \quad \tilde{c}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{c}_n x^{-n-1}.$$

Then the nontrivial bracket relations amount to

$$[\tilde{\beta}(z), \tilde{\beta}(w)] = \frac{\ell}{2} \tilde{c}'(w) z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) + \tilde{c}(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) \ell, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\tilde{c}'(w)$ denotes the formal derivative of $\tilde{c}(w)$. Set

$$K(\ell)_+ = \text{span}\{\tilde{\beta}_n, \tilde{c}_n \mid n \geq 0\} \subset K(\ell).$$

It is readily seen that $K(\ell)_+$ is a Lie subalgebra. Viewing $\mathbb{C}$ as a trivial $K(\ell)_+$ -module, we form the induced $K(\ell)$ -module

$$V_{K(\ell)} = U(K(\ell)) \otimes_{U(K(\ell)_+)} \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.10)$$

Set $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes 1 \in V_{K(\ell)}$, and set

$$\tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\beta}_{-1} \mathbf{1}, \quad \tilde{c} = \tilde{c}_{-1} \mathbf{1} \in V_{K(\ell)}.$$

It is straightforward to see that $K(\ell)$ admits a derivation d such that

$$d(\tilde{\beta}_n) = -n\tilde{\beta}_{n-1}, \quad d(\tilde{c}_n) = -n\tilde{c}_{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.11)$$

We see that d preserves the subalgebra $K(\ell)_+$. Then it follows that d gives rise to a linear operator $\mathcal{D}$ on $V_{K(\ell)}$ such that $\mathcal{D}\mathbf{1} = 0$ and

$$[\mathcal{D}, \tilde{\beta}(x)] = \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{\beta}(x), \quad [\mathcal{D}, \tilde{c}(x)] = \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{c}(x). \quad (2.12)$$

Therefore, by a theorem of Frenkel-Kac-Radul-Wang [FKRW] and Meurman-Primc [MP], there exists a vertex algebra structure on $V_{K(\ell)}$, which is uniquely determined by the condition that $\mathbf{1}$ is the vacuum vector and

$$Y(\tilde{\beta}, x) = \tilde{\beta}(x), \quad Y(\tilde{c}, x) = \tilde{c}(x). \quad (2.13)$$

As a vertex algebra, $V_{K(\ell)}$ is generated by subset $\{\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{c}\}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} [Y(\tilde{c}, z), Y(\tilde{c}, w)] &= 0 = [Y(\tilde{c}, z), Y(\tilde{\beta}, w)], \\ [Y(\tilde{\beta}, z), Y(\tilde{\beta}, w)] &= \frac{\ell}{2} Y(\mathcal{D}\tilde{c}, w) z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) + Y(\tilde{c}, w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) \ell. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$, $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$, and let W be any restricted $\mathcal{H}(f)$ -module of level ℓ . Then there exists a $V_{K(\ell)}$ -module structure Y_W on W , which is uniquely determined by*

$$Y_W(\tilde{\beta}, x) = \beta(x), \quad Y_W(\tilde{c}, x) = f(x).$$

On the other hand, let (W, Y_W) be an irreducible $V_{K(\ell)}$ -module. Then $Y_W(\tilde{c}, x) = f(x)$ for some $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x))$ and W is a restricted $\mathcal{H}(f)$ -module of level ℓ with $\beta(x)$ acting as $Y_W(\tilde{\beta}, x)$.

Proof. For the first assertion, the uniqueness is clear as $V_{K(\ell)}$ is generated by $\{\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{c}\}$. As for the existence, set

$$U = \{\beta(x), f(x)\} \subset \mathcal{E}(W).$$

From the defining relations of $\mathcal{H}(f)$, U is local. By Proposition 2.5, we have a vertex algebra $\langle U \rangle$ with W as a faithful module. With the relations (2.7), by Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\beta(x), z), Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\beta(x), w)] \\ &= \frac{\ell}{2} Y_{\mathcal{E}}(f'(x), w) z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) + Y_{\mathcal{E}}(f(x), w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) \ell. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(f'(x), w) = f'(x + w) = \frac{\partial}{\partial w} f(x + w) = \frac{\partial}{\partial w} Y_{\mathcal{E}}(f(x), w).$$

It follows that $\langle U \rangle$ is a $K(\ell)$ -module with $\tilde{\beta}(z)$ acting as $Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\beta(x), z)$, $\tilde{c}(z)$ as $Y_{\mathcal{E}}(f(x), z)$. By the construction of $V_{K(\ell)}$, there exists a $K(\ell)$ -module homomorphism ψ from $V_{K(\ell)}$ to $\langle U \rangle$ with $\psi(\mathbf{1}) = 1_W$. We have

$$\psi(\tilde{\beta}) = \psi(\tilde{\beta}_{-1}\mathbf{1}) = \beta(x)_{-1}1_W = \beta(x), \quad \psi(\tilde{c}) = f(x)_{-1}1_W = f(x).$$

As $\{\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{c}\}$ generates $V_{K(\ell)}$, it follows that ψ is a homomorphism of vertex algebras. Consequently, W becomes a $V_{K(\ell)}$ -module where

$$Y_W(\tilde{\beta}, z) = Y_W(\beta(x), z) = \beta(z), \quad Y_W(\tilde{c}, z) = Y_W(f(x), z) = f(z).$$

On the other hand, let W be an irreducible $V_{K(\ell)}$ -module. We have

$$[Y_W(\tilde{c}, z), Y_W(\tilde{c}, w)] = 0 = [Y_W(\tilde{c}, z), Y_W(\tilde{\beta}, w)]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [Y_W(\tilde{\beta}, z), Y_W(\tilde{\beta}, w)] \\ &= \frac{\ell}{2} Y_W(\mathcal{D}\tilde{c}, w) z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) + Y_W(\tilde{c}, w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) \ell \\ &= \frac{\ell}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w} Y_W(\tilde{c}, w) \right) z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) + Y_W(\tilde{c}, w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Then W is a $K(\ell)$ -module with $\tilde{\beta}(x)$ and $\tilde{c}(x)$ acting as $Y_W(\tilde{\beta}, x)$ and $Y_W(\tilde{c}, x)$, respectively. Since W is an irreducible $V_{K(\ell)}$ -module, it follows that W is an irreducible $K(\ell)$ -module. As $K(\ell)$ is of countable dimension over $\mathbb{C}$, so is W . Because $\tilde{c}_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are central, in view of Schur's Lemma, we have $\tilde{c}(x) = f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x^{-1}]]$. As $\tilde{c}(x) = Y_W(\tilde{c}, x) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$ from the definition of a module, we have $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x))$. Therefore, W is an $\mathcal{H}[f]$ -module of level ℓ with $\beta(x)$ acting as $Y_W(\tilde{\beta}, x)$. $\square$

Next, we give a refinement of Proposition 2.9 in terms of vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebras and their type zero modules. Equip $\mathbb{C}((t))$ with the vertex algebra structure given by the Borcherds construction with derivation $\frac{d}{dt}$, where

$$Y(p(t), x)q(t) = p(t+x)q(t) \quad \text{for } p(t), q(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t)).$$

Then the tensor product vertex algebra $\mathbb{C}((t)) \otimes V_{K(\ell)}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ is naturally a vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebra with $\mathbb{C}((t))$ acting on the first factor.

Definition 2.10. Let $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t))$. Define $V[f]$ to be the quotient vertex algebra of $\mathbb{C}((t)) \otimes V_{K(\ell)}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ modulo the relation

$$f(t) \otimes \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{c}. \quad (2.15)$$

From the construction of the vertex algebra $\mathbb{C}((t))$, for $p(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t))$, $p(t)_{-1}$ (a component of the vertex operator $Y(p(t), x)$) is the left multiplication by $p(t)$. It follows that $V[f]$ is also a quotient $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -module. Then $V[f]$ is naturally a vertex $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -algebra.

Theorem 2.11. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$, $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$. For any level- ℓ restricted $\mathcal{H}(f)$ -module W , there exists a type zero $V[f]$ -module structure Y_W (on W) which is uniquely determined by

$$Y_W(\tilde{\beta}, x) = \beta(x), \quad Y_W(\tilde{c}, x) = f(x).$$

On the other hand, for any type zero $V[f]$ -module (W, Y_W) , W becomes a level- ℓ restricted $\mathcal{H}(f)$ -module with $\beta(x)$ acting as $Y_W(\tilde{\beta}, x)$.

Proof. Let W be a level- ℓ restricted $\mathcal{H}(f)$ -module. By Proposition 2.9, there exists a $V_{K(\ell)}$ -module structure Y_W on W with the desired properties. Extend Y_W to a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map

$$\bar{Y}_W : \mathbb{C}((t)) \otimes V_{K(\ell)} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$$

by

$$\bar{Y}_W(p(t) \otimes v, x) = p(x)Y_W(v, x)$$

for $p(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t))$, $v \in V_{K(\ell)}$. Note that $\mathbb{C}((x)) (= \mathbb{C}((x))1_W) \subset \mathcal{E}(W)$ and that

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(p(x), z)q(x) = p(x+z)q(x) \quad \text{for } p(x), q(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)).$$

Thus $\mathbb{C}((x))$ is a vertex algebra with W as a module. That is, W is a $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -module with $Y_W(p(t), x) = p(x)$ for $p(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t))$. It follows that $(W, \bar{Y}_W)$ carries the structure of a module for the tensor product vertex algebra $\mathbb{C}((t)) \otimes V_{K(\ell)}$ (over $\mathbb{C}$). Furthermore, it is readily seen that $(W, \bar{Y}_W)$ is a type zero module. Since

$$\bar{Y}_W(f(t) \otimes \mathbf{1}, x) = f(x) = Y_W(\tilde{c}, x) = \bar{Y}_W(1 \otimes \tilde{c}, x),$$

it follows that $\bar{Y}_W$ reduces to a module structure for $V[f]$ viewed as a vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. This makes W a type zero $V[f]$ -module.

On the other hand, let (W, Y_W) be a type zero $V[f]$ -module. As $V_{K(\ell)}$ is a vertex subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}((t)) \otimes V_{K(\ell)}$ and $V[f]$ is a quotient vertex algebra of $\mathbb{C}((t)) \otimes V_{K(\ell)}$, W is naturally a $V_{K(\ell)}$ -module. Furthermore, we have

$$Y_W(\tilde{c}, x) = Y_W(f(t), x) = f(x)$$

and from [DLM] (cf. [LL]) we have

$$Y_W(\mathcal{D}\tilde{c}, x) = \frac{d}{dx}Y_W(\tilde{c}, x) = f'(x).$$

Using all these facts and Proposition 2.4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [Y_W(\tilde{\beta}, z), Y_W(\tilde{\beta}, w)] \\ &= \frac{\ell}{2}Y_W(\mathcal{D}\tilde{c}, w)z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) + Y_W(\tilde{c}, w)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right)\ell \\ &= \frac{\ell}{2}f'(w)z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) + f(w)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}z^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right)\ell. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that W is a level- ℓ $\mathcal{H}(f)$ -module with $\beta(x)$ acting as $Y_W(\tilde{\beta}, x)$. $\square$

3 Vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebras associated with elliptic affine Lie algebras

In this section, we shall associate elliptic affine Lie algebras and their restricted modules with vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebras and their type zero modules. More generally, for each polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, we construct a Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ over $\mathbb{C}$, which generalizes elliptic affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ in a certain way, and we also construct a Lie algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ over $\mathbb{C}((z))$. Then we construct a vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ associated with $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ and a complex number ℓ , and we establish an isomorphism between the category of restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -modules of level ℓ and the category of type zero $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ -modules.

We begin by recalling elliptic affine Lie algebras, following [BCF]. Let β be a complex number which is fixed throughout this section. Denote by $A_\beta[t^{\pm 1}, u]$ the quotient algebra of $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}, u]$ modulo relation

$$u^2 = t^3 - 2\beta t^2 + t.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a (possibly infinite-dimensional) Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Roughly speaking, the elliptic affine Lie algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$, denoted by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$, is the universal central extension of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A_\beta[t^{\pm 1}, u]$. Following [BCF], let $\mathfrak{g}^1$ be a vector space isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}$, with a fixed linear isomorphism $a \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto a^1 \in \mathfrak{g}^1$. Then

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e = (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}_- \quad (3.1)$$

as a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$, where $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_\pm$ are central and the nontrivial bracket relations are written in terms of generating functions for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$:

$$a(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a \otimes t^n) x^{-n-1}, \quad a^1(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a^1 \otimes t^n) x^{-n-1}.$$

The nontrivial bracket relations are

$$\begin{aligned} [a(z), b(w)] &= [a, b](w) z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) + \langle a, b \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial w} z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) \mathbf{k}, \\ [a(z), b^1(w)] &= [a, b^1](w) z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) + \langle a, b \rangle A(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right), \\ [a^1(z), b^1(w)] &= \left((w^3 - 2\beta w^2 + w)[a, b](w) + \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle (3w^2 - 4\beta w + 1) \mathbf{k} \right) z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) \\ &\quad + \langle a, b \rangle (w^3 - 2\beta w^2 + w) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} z^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) \mathbf{k}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(w) = w(P(w^{-1}, \beta) + P(w, \beta)) \mathbf{k}_+ + w(Q(w^{-1}, \beta) + Q(w, \beta) - 2) \mathbf{k}_-,$$

in which $P(x, \beta)$ and $Q(x, \beta)$ are certain nonnegative power series in x , depending on β .

Note that we have slightly modified the original relation (by replacing the invariant bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ to $-\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$), so that the elliptic affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ contains the standard affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ as a subalgebra.

We shall be interested in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -modules on which central elements $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{k}_\pm$ act as complex scalars ℓ and $\ell_\pm$.

Definition 3.1. If W is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -module on which $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_+$ and $\mathbf{k}_-$ act as complex scalars $\ell, \ell_\pm$, respectively, we say that W is of *level* (ℓ, ℓ_+, ℓ_-) .

Just as with the ordinary affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, for any $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -module W and for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $a(n), a^1(n)$ for the operators on W , corresponding to $a \otimes t^n, a^1 \otimes t^n$, respectively, and we view $a(x)$ and $a^1(x)$ as elements of $(\text{End } W)[[x, x^{-1}]]$.

Definition 3.2. A $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -module W is called a *restricted module* if for every $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $w \in W$, $a(n)w = a^1(n)w = 0$ for n sufficiently large, namely, if

$$a(x), a^1(x) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x))) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

We have (cf. [BCF], Lemma 4.3):

Lemma 3.3. *Let W be a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -module on which $\mathbf{k}_\pm$ act as scalars $\ell_\pm$. Then*

$$A(z) = z(P(z^{-1}, \beta) + P(z, \beta))\ell_+ + z(Q(z^{-1}, \beta) + Q(z, \beta) - 2)\ell_- \in \mathbb{C}((z)).$$

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $\langle a, b \rangle \neq 0$. From the defining relations we get

$$\text{Res}_z(z - w)[a(z), b^1(w)] = \langle a, b \rangle A(w).$$

Since $a(w), b^1(w) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((w)))$, we have

$$\text{Res}_z(z - w)[a(z), b^1(w)] \in \text{Hom}(W, W((w))).$$

Thus $A(w) \in \mathbb{C}((w))$. □

From the defining relations we have

$$(z - w)^2[a(z), b(w)] = (z - w)^2[a^1(z), b^1(w)] = (z - w)^2[a(z), b^1(w)] = 0$$

for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let W be a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -module. Set

$$U_W = \{a(x), a^1(x) \mid a \in \mathfrak{g}\} \subset \mathcal{E}(W).$$

Then U_W is a local subset. Thus U_W generates a vertex algebra V_W inside $\mathcal{E}(W)$ with W as a faithful module.

Note that if W is a level- ℓ restricted module for the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, the vertex algebra generated by the generating functions $a(x)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a vacuum $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module of level ℓ with $a(z)$ acting as $Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), z)$. For elliptic affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$, this is *no longer* the case. In fact, the following proposition asserts that there does not exist a nontrivial vacuum $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -module.

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose that W is a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -module of level (ℓ, ℓ_+, ℓ_-) , equipped with a vector $w_0 \in W$ and a linear operator D on W such that $W = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e)w_0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} Dw_0 &= 0, \quad a(x)w_0, a^1(x)w_0 \in W[[x]], \\ [D, a(x)] &= \frac{d}{dx}a(x), \quad [D, a^1(x)] = \frac{d}{dx}a^1(x) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\ell = 0$ and $A(z) \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, if $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$, then W is a 1-dimensional trivial $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -module.

Proof. With W assumed to be a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -module, $a(x), a^1(x)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ form a local subset U_W of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Then we have a vertex algebra V_W generated by U_W . By Proposition 5.4.1 of [LL], we have

$$[D, \psi(x)] = \frac{d}{dx}\psi(x) \quad \text{for } \psi(x) \in V_W.$$

Let $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $\langle a, b \rangle \neq 0$. We have

$$a(x)_1 b^1(x) = \text{Res}_z(z-x)[a(z), b^1(x)] = \langle a, b \rangle A(x),$$

which implies $A(x) \in V_W$. Then we have $\frac{d}{dx}A(x) = [D, A(x)] = 0$, proving $A(x) \in \mathbb{C}$. Similarly, we have

$$a^1(x)_1 b^1(x) = \text{Res}_{x_1}(x_1-x)[a^1(x_1), b^1(x)] = \ell \langle a, b \rangle (x^3 - 2\beta x^2 + x).$$

Then

$$\ell \langle a, b \rangle (3x^2 - 4\beta x + 1) = \ell \langle a, b \rangle [D, (x^3 - 2\beta x^2 + x)] = 0,$$

which implies $\ell = 0$.

Furthermore, with $\ell = 0$ we have

$$a^1(x)_0 b^1(x) = \text{Res}_{x_1}[a^1(x_1), b^1(x)] = (x^3 - 2\beta x^2 + x)[a, b](x).$$

Using the same reasoning we get $(3x^2 - 4\beta x + 1)[a, b](x) = 0$. As $[a, b](x) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$, it follows that $[a, b](x) = 0$. With $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ non-degenerate, we have $u(x) = 0$ on W for every $u \in \mathfrak{g}$. We also have $u^1(x) = 0$ on W . Since w_0 generates W , W must be a 1-dimensional trivial $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -module. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *Let W be a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ -module of level (ℓ, ℓ_+, ℓ_-) with $\ell \neq 0$. Then the vertex algebra V_W generated by U_W is a $\mathbb{C}[x]$ -submodule of $\mathcal{E}(W)$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $\langle a, b \rangle \neq 0$. We have

$$a^1(x)_1 b^1(x) = \text{Res}_{x_1}(x_1-x)[a^1(x_1), b^1(x)] = \ell \langle a, b \rangle (x^3 - 2\beta x^2 + x).$$

It follows that $x^3 - 2\beta x^2 + x \in V_W$. Furthermore, we have

$$(x^3 - 2\beta x^2 + x)_{-3} 1_W = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 (x^3 - 2\beta x^2 + x) = 3x - 2\beta,$$

which implies $x \in V_W$. Noticing that $x_{-1}u = xu$ for $u \in V_W$, we obtain $\mathbb{C}[x]V_W \subset V_W$, proving that V_W is a $\mathbb{C}[x]$ -submodule of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. $\square$

To better describe the vertex algebras generated by the generating functions of elliptic affine Lie algebras on restricted modules, we shall make use of certain closely related Lie algebras.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a (possibly infinite-dimensional) Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and let $p(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}((\xi))$ (with ξ a new formal variable). Set*

$$K = \mathbb{C}((\xi))(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}],$$

a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
[f(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^m, K] &= 0 = [K, f(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^m], \\
[f(\xi)a \otimes t^m, g(\xi)b \otimes t^n] &= f(\xi)g(\xi)[a, b] \otimes t^{m+n} + \langle a, b \rangle f'(\xi)g(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n} \\
&\quad + m\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1}, \\
[f(\xi)a \otimes t^m, g(\xi)b^1 \otimes t^n] &= f(\xi)g(\xi)[a, b]^1 \otimes t^{m+n}, \\
[f(\xi)a^1 \otimes t^m, g(\xi)b \otimes t^n] &= f(\xi)g(\xi)[a, b]^1 \otimes t^{m+n}, \\
[f(\xi)a^1 \otimes t^m, g(\xi)b^1 \otimes t^n] &= f(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)[a, b] \otimes t^{m+n} \\
&\quad + \langle a, b \rangle f'(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)p'(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n} \\
&\quad + m\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$, $f(\xi), g(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}((\xi))$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let J_0 be the subspace of K , spanned over $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$f'(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^n + n f(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{n-1}$$

for $f(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}((\xi))$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then J_0 is a two-sided ideal of the algebra K , and K/J_0 is a Lie algebra, which we denote by $K(\mathfrak{g}, p)$. Furthermore, the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear operator $\tilde{D}$ on K , defined by

$$\tilde{D}(u \otimes t^n) = -n(u \otimes t^{n-1})$$

for $u \in \mathbb{C}((\xi))(\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1 + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k})$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, reduces to a derivation D of the Lie algebra $K(\mathfrak{g}, p)$.

Proof. It is immediate that J_0 is a two-sided ideal of K . We now prove that skew symmetry holds for the quotient algebra K/J_0 . We shall just consider the two cases which are not immediate. Let $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$, $f(\xi), g(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}((\xi))$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. With $[a, b] = -[b, a]$ and $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle b, a \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[f(\xi)a \otimes t^m, g(\xi)b \otimes t^n] + [g(\xi)b \otimes t^n, f(\xi)a \otimes t^m] \\
&= \langle a, b \rangle f'(\xi)g(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n} + m\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} \\
&\quad + \langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g'(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n} + n\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} \\
&= \langle a, b \rangle \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}(f(\xi)g(\xi))\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n} + (m+n)f(\xi)g(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} \right) \\
&\in J_0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [f(\xi)a^1 \otimes t^m, g(\xi)b^1 \otimes t^n] + [g(\xi)b^1 \otimes t^n, f(\xi)a^1 \otimes t^m] \\
= & \langle a, b \rangle f'(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n} + \langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g'(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n} \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)p'(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n} + \frac{1}{2}\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)p'(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n} \\
& + m\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} + n\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} \\
= & \langle a, b \rangle \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}(f(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi))\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n} + (m+n)f(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} \right) \\
\in & J_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we establish Jacobi identity. Let $f(\xi), g(\xi), h(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}((\xi))$, $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{g}$, $l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [f(\xi)a \otimes t^l, [g(\xi)b \otimes t^m, h(\xi)c \otimes t^n]] \\
= & [f(\xi)a \otimes t^l, g(\xi)h(\xi)[b, c] \otimes t^{m+n}] \\
= & f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)[a, [b, c]] \otimes t^{l+m+n} + \langle a, [b, c] \rangle f'(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{l+m+n} \\
& + l\langle a, [b, c] \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{l+m+n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [g(\xi)b \otimes t^m, [f(\xi)a \otimes t^l, h(\xi)c \otimes t^n]] \\
= & f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)[b, [a, c]] \otimes t^{l+m+n} + \langle b, [a, c] \rangle f(\xi)g'(\xi)h(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{l+m+n} \\
& + m\langle b, [a, c] \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{l+m+n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [[f(\xi)a \otimes t^l, g(\xi)b \otimes t^m], h(\xi)c \otimes t^n] \\
= & [f(\xi)g(\xi)[a, b] \otimes t^{l+m}, h(\xi)c \otimes t^n] \\
= & f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)[[a, b], c] \otimes t^{l+m+n} + \langle [a, b], c \rangle (f(\xi)g(\xi))'h(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{l+m+n} \\
& + (l+m)\langle [a, b], c \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{l+m+n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the Jacobi identity for the triple $(f(\xi)a \otimes t^l, g(\xi)b \otimes t^m, h(\xi)c \otimes t^n)$ follows from the Jacobi identity of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the invariance of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
[f(\xi)a \otimes t^l, [g(\xi)b \otimes t^m, h(\xi)c^1 \otimes t^n]] &= [f(\xi)a \otimes t^l, g(\xi)h(\xi)[b, c]^1 \otimes t^{m+n}] \\
&= f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)[a, [b, c]]^1 \otimes t^{l+m+n},
\end{aligned}$$

$$[g(\xi)b \otimes t^m, [f(\xi)a \otimes t^l, h(\xi)c^1 \otimes t^n]] = f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)[b, [a, c]]^1 \otimes t^{l+m+n},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[[f(\xi)a \otimes t^l, g(\xi)b \otimes t^m], h(\xi)c^1 \otimes t^n] &= [f(\xi)g(\xi)[a, b] \otimes t^{l+m}, h(\xi)c^1 \otimes t^n] \\
&= f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)[[a, b], c]^1 \otimes t^{l+m+n}.
\end{aligned}$$

These imply the Jacobi identity for the indicated triple.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [f(\xi)a \otimes t^l, [g(\xi)b^1 \otimes t^m, h(\xi)c^1 \otimes t^n]] \\
&= [f(\xi)a \otimes t^l, g(\xi)h(\xi)p(\xi)[b, c] \otimes t^{m+n}] \\
&= f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)p(\xi)[a, [b, c]] \otimes t^{l+m+n} + \langle a, [b, c] \rangle f'(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{l+m+n} \\
&\quad + l\langle a, [b, c] \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{l+m+n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [g(\xi)b^1 \otimes t^m, [f(\xi)a \otimes t^l, h(\xi)c^1 \otimes t^n]] \\
&= [g(\xi)b^1 \otimes t^m, f(\xi)h(\xi)[a, c]^1 \otimes t^{l+m}] \\
&= f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)p(\xi)[b, [a, c]] \otimes t^{l+m+n} + \langle b, [a, c] \rangle f(\xi)g'(\xi)h(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{l+m+n} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\langle b, [a, c] \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)p'(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{l+m+n} \\
&\quad + m\langle b, [a, c] \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{l+m+n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [[f(\xi)a \otimes t^l, g(\xi)b^1 \otimes t^m], h(\xi)c^1 \otimes t^n] \\
&= [f(\xi)g(\xi)[a, b]^1 \otimes t^{l+m}, h(\xi)c^1 \otimes t^n] \\
&= f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)p(\xi)[[a, b], c] \otimes t^{l+m+n} \\
&\quad + \langle [a, b], c \rangle (f'(\xi)g(\xi) + f(\xi)g'(\xi))h(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{l+m+n} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\langle [a, b], c \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)p'(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{l+m+n} \\
&\quad + (l+m)\langle [a, b], c \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{l+m+n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Jacobi identity of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the invariance of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ we obtain the Jacobi identity for the indicated triple.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [f(\xi)a^1 \otimes t^l, [g(\xi)b^1 \otimes t^m, h(\xi)c^1 \otimes t^n]] \\
&= [f(\xi)a^1 \otimes t^l, g(\xi)h(\xi)p(\xi)[b, c] \otimes t^{m+n}] \\
&= f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)p(\xi)[a, [b, c]]^1 \otimes t^{l+m+n},
\end{aligned}$$

$$[g(\xi)b^1 \otimes t^m, [f(\xi)a^1 \otimes t^l, h(\xi)c^1 \otimes t^n]] = f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)p(\xi)[b, [a, c]]^1 \otimes t^{l+m+n},$$

$$[[f(\xi)a^1 \otimes t^l, g(\xi)b^1 \otimes t^m], h(\xi)c^1 \otimes t^n] = f(\xi)g(\xi)h(\xi)p(\xi)[[a, b], c]^1 \otimes t^{l+m+n}.$$

Then the Jacobi identity for the indicated triple follows. Furthermore, the other cases follow from these and skew symmetry.

As for the last assertion, we first show that $\tilde{D}$ is a derivation of algebra K , by checking the two nontrivial cases. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -m[f(\xi)a \otimes t^{m-1}, g(\xi)b \otimes t^n] - n[f(\xi)a \otimes t^m, g(\xi)b \otimes t^{n-1}] \\
= & -mf(\xi)g(\xi)[a, b] \otimes t^{m+n-1} - m\langle a, b \rangle f'(\xi)g(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} \\
& -m(m-1)\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-2} \\
& -nf(\xi)g(\xi)[a, b] \otimes t^{m+n-1} - n\langle a, b \rangle f'(\xi)g(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} \\
& -mn\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-2} \\
= & -(m+n)f(\xi)g(\xi)[a, b] \otimes t^{m+n-1} - \langle a, b \rangle (m+n)f'(\xi)g(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} \\
& -m(m+n-1)\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-2}, \\
\\
& -m[f(\xi)a^1 \otimes t^{m-1}, g(\xi)b^1 \otimes t^n] - n[f(\xi)a^1 \otimes t^m, g(\xi)b^1 \otimes t^{n-1}] \\
= & -mf(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)[a, b] \otimes t^{m+n-1} - m\langle a, b \rangle f'(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\langle a, b \rangle mf(\xi)g(\xi)p'(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} - m(m-1)\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-2} \\
& -nf(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)[a, b] \otimes t^{m+n-1} - n\langle a, b \rangle f'(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\langle a, b \rangle nf(\xi)g(\xi)p'(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} - mn\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-2} \\
= & -(m+n)f(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)[a, b] \otimes t^{m+n-1} - (m+n)\langle a, b \rangle f'(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\langle a, b \rangle (m+n)f(\xi)g(\xi)p'(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-1} \\
& -m(m+n-1)\langle a, b \rangle f(\xi)g(\xi)p(\xi)\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{m+n-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is readily seen that $\tilde{D}$ preserves the ideal J_0 , so that $\tilde{D}$ reduces to a derivation D of $K(\mathfrak{g}, p)$. $\square$

Note that though $K(\mathfrak{g}, p)$ is naturally a vector space over the field $\mathbb{C}((\xi))$, $K(\mathfrak{g}, p)$ is *not* a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}((\xi))$, as the Lie bracket is not $\mathbb{C}((\xi))$ -bilinear.

We see from the construction that as a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$,

$$K(\mathfrak{g}, p) = (\mathbb{C}((\xi))(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) \oplus (R/dR), \quad (3.2)$$

where $R = \mathbb{C}((\xi))\mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ and $d = \frac{d}{d\xi} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \frac{d}{dt}$. For $u \in \mathbb{C}((\xi))(\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1 + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k})$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by $u(n)$ the image of $u \otimes t^n$ in $K(\mathfrak{g}, p)$. Since

$$d(\mathbf{k} \otimes t^n) = n(\mathbf{k} \otimes t^{n-1}) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

we have

$$\mathbf{k}(n) = 0 \quad \text{for } n \neq -1. \quad (3.3)$$

For $u \in \mathbb{C}((\xi))(\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1 + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k})$, form the generating function

$$Y_t(u, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u(n)x^{-n-1} \in K(\mathfrak{g}, p)[[x, x^{-1}]]. \quad (3.4)$$

The Lie bracket relations become

$$\begin{aligned}
[Y_t(f\mathbf{k}, x_1), K(\mathfrak{g}, p)] &= 0, \\
[Y_t(fa, x_1), Y_t(gb, x_2)] &= Y_t(fg[a, b], x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\
&\quad + \langle a, b \rangle Y_t(f'g\mathbf{k}, x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \langle a, b \rangle Y_t(fg\mathbf{k}, x_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right), \\
[Y_t(fa, x_1), Y_t(gb^1, x_2)] &= Y_t(fg[a, b]^1, x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right), \\
[Y_t(fa^1, x_1), Y_t(gb, x_2)] &= Y_t(fg[a, b]^1, x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right), \\
[Y_t(fa^1, x_1), Y_t(gb^1, x_2)] &= Y_t(fgp[a, b], x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\langle a, b \rangle Y_t((2f'gp + fgp')\mathbf{k}, x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\
&\quad + \langle a, b \rangle Y_t(fgp\mathbf{k}, x_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right). \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

From now on, we assume that $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is a polynomial. Set

$$K^0(\mathfrak{g}, p) = (\mathbb{C}[\xi](\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) \oplus (R/dR) \subset K, \tag{3.6}$$

where R and d are given as before. It is readily seen that $K^0(\mathfrak{g}, p)$ is a Lie subalgebra which is stable under the derivation D .

We now construct a family of Lie algebras, generalizing the elliptic affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ (with $\mathbf{k}_{\pm} = 0$).

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a (possibly infinite-dimensional) Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and let $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. Set*

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p = (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}, \tag{3.7}$$

a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Define a bilinear operation on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{k}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p] &= 0 = [\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p, \mathbf{k}], \\
[a(x_1), b(x_2)] &= [a, b](x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \langle a, b \rangle \mathbf{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right), \\
[a(x_1), b^1(x_2)] &= [a, b]^1(x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right), \\
[a^1(x_1), b(x_2)] &= [a, b]^1(x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right), \\
[a^1(x_1), b^1(x_2)] &= p(x_2)[a, b](x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\langle a, b \rangle p'(x_2)\mathbf{k}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \langle a, b \rangle p(x_2)\mathbf{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right). \quad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ is a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Recall the Lie algebra

$$K^0(\mathfrak{g}, p) = (\mathbb{C}[\xi](\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) \oplus (R/dR) \subset K.$$

Let J be the $\mathbb{C}$ -span of the coefficients of

$$(f(\xi)a)(x) - f(x)a(x), \quad (f(\xi)a^1)(x) - f(x)a^1(x), \quad (g(\xi)\mathbf{k})(x) - g(x)\mathbf{k}$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, $f(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}[\xi]$, $g(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}((\xi))$. By using (3.5), it is straightforward to show that J is a left ideal of $K^0(\mathfrak{g}, p)$. We see that the underlying vector space of the quotient Lie algebra $K^0(\mathfrak{g}, p)/J$ is isomorphic to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$. Then it follows immediately that the defined nonassociative algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ is a Lie algebra. $\square$

Remark 3.8. Note that the Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ with $p(x) = x^3 - 2\beta x^2 + x$ is isomorphic to the quotient algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_e/(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}_+ + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}_-)$ of the elliptic affine Lie algebra.

We next construct another family of Lie algebras.

Proposition 3.9. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a (possibly infinite-dimensional) Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and let $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. Set

$$\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p = \mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}((z))\mathbf{k}, \quad (3.9)$$

a vector space over $\mathbb{C}((z))$. For $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, set

$$a(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a \otimes t^n) x^{-n-1}, \quad a^1(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a^1 \otimes t^n) x^{-n-1}.$$

Define a $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -bilinear operation on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{k}, \check{\mathfrak{g}}_p] &= 0 = [\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p, \mathbf{k}], \\
[a(x_1), b(x_2)] &= [a, b](x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \langle a, b \rangle \mathbf{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right), \\
[a(x_1), b^1(x_2)] &= [a, b]^1(x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right), \\
[a^1(x_1), b(x_2)] &= [a, b]^1(x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right), \\
[a^1(x_1), b^1(x_2)] &= p(z+x_2)[a, b](x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\langle a, b \rangle p'(z+x_2)\mathbf{k}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \langle a, b \rangle p(z+x_2)\mathbf{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right). \quad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ is a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}((z))$. Furthermore, the map $\check{D}$, defined by

$$\check{D}(f(z)\mathbf{k}) = f'(z)\mathbf{k}, \quad \check{D}(f(z)u \otimes t^n) = f'(z)u \otimes t^n - nf(z)u \otimes t^{n-1} \quad (3.11)$$

for $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$, $u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear derivation of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ viewed as a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Just as in the proof of Proposition 3.7 we shall make use of the Lie algebra $K^0(\mathfrak{g}, p)$. We extend $K^0(\mathfrak{g}, p)$ to a Lie algebra $\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K^0(\mathfrak{g}, p)$ over the field $\mathbb{C}((z))$. Let J_1 be the subspace of $\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes K^0(\mathfrak{g}, p)$, spanned over $\mathbb{C}((z))$ by the coefficients of

$$(f(\xi)u)(x) - f(z+x)u(x), \quad (g(\xi)\mathbf{k})(x) - g(z+x)\mathbf{k}$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1$, $f(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}[\xi]$, $g(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}((\xi))$. By using (3.5), it is straightforward to show that J_1 is a left ideal of $\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K^0(\mathfrak{g}, p)$. One sees that the underlying vector space of the quotient Lie algebra $(\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K^0(\mathfrak{g}, p))/J_1$ is isomorphic to $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$. Then the first assertion follows immediately.

As for the furthermore assertion, recall that D is a derivation of $K^0(\mathfrak{g}, p)$. Then $\frac{d}{dz} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear derivation of $\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K^0(\mathfrak{g}, p)$ viewed as a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{d}{dz} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D\right) \left(1 \otimes f(\xi)u \otimes t^n - \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{j!} f^{(j)}(z) \otimes u \otimes t^{n+j}\right) \\
&= -n(1 \otimes f(\xi)u \otimes t^{n-1}) + \sum_{j \geq 0} (n+j) \frac{1}{j!} f^{(j)}(z) \otimes u \otimes t^{n+j-1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{j!} f^{(j+1)}(z) \otimes u \otimes t^{n+j} \\
&= -n \left(1 \otimes f(\xi)u \otimes t^{n-1} - \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{j!} f^{(j)}(z) \otimes u \otimes t^{n+j-1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for $u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1$, $f(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}[\xi]$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, or for $u \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}$, $f(\xi) \in \mathbb{C}((\xi))$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that J_1 is stable under $\frac{d}{dz} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D$. Then $\frac{d}{dz} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D$ gives rise to a derivation of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ viewed as a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. $\square$

Definition 3.10. We define $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ to be the Lie algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ with $p(x) = x^3 - 2\beta x^2 + x$.

Lie algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ as a vector space is naturally $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded

$$\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C}((z))(\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1) \otimes t^n + \delta_{n,0} \mathbb{C}((z))\mathbf{k}),$$

but this does *not* make $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra. Nevertheless, we can make $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ a $\mathbb{Z}$ -filtered Lie algebra. The following is straightforward from the defining relations of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ and from the assumption that $p(x)$ is a polynomial:

Lemma 3.11. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set*

$$\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p[n] = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}((z))(\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1) \otimes t^n \mathbb{C}[t] & \text{for } n \geq 1, \\ \mathbb{C}((z))(\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1) \otimes t^n \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}((z))\mathbf{k} & \text{for } n \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

Then $\{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p[n]\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a decreasing filtration of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$, satisfying that

$$\cap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \check{\mathfrak{g}}_p[n] = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

$$[\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p[m], \check{\mathfrak{g}}_p[n]] \subset \check{\mathfrak{g}}_p[m+n] \quad \text{for } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.14)$$

Definition 3.12. We say that a $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module W is of *level* $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ if $\mathbf{k}$ acts on W as scalar ℓ . We define a *vacuum $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module* to be a $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module W equipped with a vector $w_0 \in W$ and a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear operator D on W such that $W = U(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p)w_0$, $Dw_0 = 0$,

$$u(n)w_0 = 0 \quad \text{for } u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1, \ n \geq 0,$$

and such that

$$[D, u(x)] = \frac{d}{dx}u(x), \quad [D, f(z)] = f'(z)$$

for $u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1$, $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$.

Lemma 3.13. *Let W be a $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module with a vector w_0 satisfying that*

$$W = U(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p)w_0 \quad \text{and} \quad u(n)w_0 = 0 \quad \text{for } u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1, \ n \geq 0.$$

Then W is restricted. In particular, any vacuum $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module is a restricted module.

Proof. We need to prove that for any $w \in W$, $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p[n]w = 0$ for n sufficiently large. For $k \geq 0$, let $W[k]$ be the span of the subspaces

$$\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p[n_1] \cdots \check{\mathfrak{g}}_p[n_r]w_0$$

for $0 \leq r \leq k$ with $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $W = \cup_{k \geq 0} W[k]$. From definition we have $W[0] = \mathbb{C}((z))w_0$, so we have $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p[n]W[0] = 0$ for $n \geq 1$. It follows from Lemma 3.11 and induction on k that for any $k \geq 0$ and for any $w \in W[k]$, $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p[n]w = 0$ for n sufficiently large. As $W = \cup_{k \geq 0} W[k]$, it follows that W is restricted. $\square$

Proposition 3.14. *Let ℓ be a complex number and let W be a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module of level ℓ . Set $V_W = \mathbb{C}((x))\langle U_W \rangle$, where*

$$U_W = \{a(x), a^1(x) \mid a \in \mathfrak{g}\} \subset \mathcal{E}(W).$$

Then V_W with vector $1_W \in V_W$ and operator $D = \mathcal{D}$ (the $\mathcal{D}$ -operator of V_W) is a vacuum $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module of level ℓ with $u(x_0)$ acting as $Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u(x), x_0)$ for $u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1$ and with $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$ acting as $f(x)$.

Proof. Note that W is a faithful module for V_W viewed as a vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with $Y_W(\psi(x), x_0) = \psi(x_0)$ for $\psi(x) \in V_W$. Let $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & [Y_W(a(x), x_1), Y_W(b(x), x_2)] \\ &= Y_W([a, b](x), x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \ell\langle a, b \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right), \\ & [Y_W(a(x), x_1), Y_W(b^1(x), x_2)] = Y_W([a, b]^1(x), x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right), \\ & [Y_W(a^1(x), x_1), Y_W(b^1(x), x_2)] \quad (= [a^1(x_1), b^1(x_2)]) \\ &= p(x_2)[a, b](x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \ell\langle a, b \rangle p(x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2}\ell\langle a, b \rangle p'(x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\ &= Y_W(p(x)[a, b](x), x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \ell\langle a, b \rangle Y_W(p(x)1_W, x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2}\ell\langle a, b \rangle Y_W(p'(x)1_W, x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In view of Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_1), Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x), x_2)] \\ &= Y_{\mathcal{E}}([a, b](x), x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \ell\langle a, b \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right), \\ & [Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_1), Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b^1(x), x_2)] = Y_{\mathcal{E}}([a, b]^1(x), x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right), \\ & [Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a^1(x), x_1), Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b^1(x), x_2)] \\ &= Y_{\mathcal{E}}(p(x)[a, b](x), x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \ell\langle a, b \rangle Y_{\mathcal{E}}(p(x)1_W, x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2}\ell\langle a, b \rangle Y_{\mathcal{E}}(p'(x)1_W, x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\ &= p(x+x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}([a, b](x), x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \ell\langle a, b \rangle p(x+x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2}\ell\langle a, b \rangle p'(x+x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus V_W is a restricted $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module of level ℓ with $u(x_0)$ acting as $Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u(x), x_0)$ for $u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1$ and with $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$ acting as $f(x)$. From the definition of V_W , V_W is generated over $\mathbb{C}((x))$ from 1_W by operators $a(x)_n$, $a^1(x)_n$, $f(x)$ for $u \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $V_W = U(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p)1_W$. For the vertex algebra V_W with the $\mathcal{D}$ -operator $\mathcal{D}$, we have

$$[\mathcal{D}, Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_0)] = \frac{d}{dx_0} Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_0) \quad \text{for } \psi(x) \in V_W.$$

In particular, we have

$$[\mathcal{D}, Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u(x), x_0)] = \frac{d}{dx_0} Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u(x), x_0) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1.$$

With $\mathcal{D} = \frac{d}{dx}$, we also have

$$[\mathcal{D}, f(x)] = f'(x) \quad \text{for } f(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)).$$

Therefore, V_W is a vacuum $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module of level ℓ . □

Set

$$\check{B} = \mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}((z))\mathbf{k} \subset \check{\mathfrak{g}}_p. \quad (3.15)$$

It is readily seen that $\check{B}$ is a subalgebra which is a direct sum of the subalgebras $\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ and $\mathbb{C}((z))\mathbf{k}$.

Let $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$. We make $\mathbb{C}((z))$ a $\check{B}$ -module by letting $\mathbf{k}$ act as scalar ℓ and letting $\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ act trivially. Denote this $\check{B}$ -module by $\mathbb{C}((z))_{\ell}$. Then form the induced module

$$V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0) = U(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p) \otimes_{U(\check{B})} \mathbb{C}((z))_{\ell}, \quad (3.16)$$

a $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module. Set

$$\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes 1 \in V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0).$$

In view of the P-B-W theorem, we can and we shall identify $\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1)$ as a subspace of $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ through the $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -linear map

$$f(z)u \mapsto f(z)u(-1)\mathbf{1}.$$

We also have $\mathbb{C}((z))\mathbf{1} \subset V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$. It is clear that $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ is a vacuum $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module which is universal in the obvious sense.

Theorem 3.15. *Let ℓ be any complex number. There exists a vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra structure on the $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$, which is uniquely determined by the conditions that $\mathbf{1}$ is the vacuum vector and that*

$$Y(u, x) = u(x) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1. \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. It is clear that $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ as a $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vector space is generated from $\mathbf{1}$ by operators $u(n)$ for $u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ as a $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space is generated from $\mathbf{1}$ by operators $u(n)$ for $u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and by the left multiplication of $f(z)$ for $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$. Then the structure of a vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$Y(u, x) = u(x), \quad Y(f(z)\mathbf{1}, x) = f(z+x) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1, f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$$

is unique. Consequently, the vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra structure with the required properties is unique.

For the existence, we first show that there is a vertex algebra structure over $\mathbb{C}$. Clearly, the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear derivation $\check{D}$ of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ preserves $\check{B}$. Then $\check{D}$ gives rise to a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear operator $\mathcal{D}$ on $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$, satisfying the condition that $\mathcal{D}\mathbf{1} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{D}, u(x)] &= \check{D}(u(x)) = \frac{d}{dx}u(x) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1, \\ [\mathcal{D}, f(z)] &= \check{D}(f(z)) = f'(z) \quad \text{for } f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z)). \end{aligned}$$

From the construction, we have $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0) = U(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p)\mathbf{1}$ and

$$u(x)\mathbf{1} \in V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)[[x]] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u(x)\mathbf{1} = u(-1)\mathbf{1} = u \quad \text{for } u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1.$$

Furthermore, by lemma 3.13, $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ is a restricted $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module, and then it follows from the commutation relations of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ that

$$\{f(z+x)a(x), f(z+x)a^1(x), f(z+x) \mid a \in \mathfrak{g}, f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))\}$$

is a local subset of $\mathcal{E}(V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0))$. Also, for $u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1$, $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(z+x)u(x)\mathbf{1} &\in V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)[[x]] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(z+x)u(x)\mathbf{1} = f(z)u, \\ f(z+x)\mathbf{1} &\in V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)[[x]] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(z+x)\mathbf{1} = f(z)\mathbf{1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{D}, f(z+x)u(x)] &= \frac{d}{dx}(f(z+x)u(x)), \\ [\mathcal{D}, f(z+x)] &= f'(z+x) = \frac{d}{dx}f(z+x). \end{aligned}$$

Now, it follows from a theorem of Frenkel-Kac-Radul-Wang [FKRW] and Meurman-Primc [MP] that $V_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ has a vertex algebra structure over $\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbf{1}$ as the vacuum vector and with

$$Y(f(z)u, x) = f(z+x)u(x), \quad Y(f(z)\mathbf{1}, x) = f(z+x)$$

for $u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1$, $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$.

Next, we show that $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ is a vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra. Note that $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ as a vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ is generated by $\mathbb{C}((z))(\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1) + \mathbb{C}((z))\mathbf{1}$. For $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$, $u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1$, we have

$$Y(f(z)u, x) = f(z+x)Y(u, x), \quad Y(f(z)\mathbf{1}, x) = f(z+x).$$

Then it follows from [Li6] that $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ is a vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra. $\square$

The following is a connection between restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -modules of level ℓ and type zero $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ -modules:

Theorem 3.16. *Let ℓ be a complex number. For any restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module W of level ℓ , there exists a unique structure Y_W of a type zero $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ -module such that*

$$Y_W(a, x) = a(x), \quad Y_W(a^1, x) = a^1(x) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

On the other hand, let (W, Y_W) be a type zero $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ -module. Then W is a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module of level ℓ with

$$a(x) = Y_W(a, x), \quad a^1(x) = Y_W(a^1, x) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{g}$$

and with $\mathbf{k}$ acting as scalar ℓ .

Proof. For the first assertion, the uniqueness is clear, since $\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1$ generates $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ as a vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra. Set

$$U = \{a(x), a^1(x) \mid a \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

From the defining relations of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$, one sees that U is a local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. In view of Proposition 2.5, U generates a vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebra $V_W = \mathbb{C}((z))\langle U \rangle$ with W as a faithful type zero module, where $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$ acts as $f(x)$ on V_W . By Proposition 3.14, V_W is a vacuum $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module of level ℓ with

$$a(x_0) = Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0), \quad a^1(x_0) = Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a^1(x), x_0)$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and with $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$ acting as $f(x)$. From the construction of $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$, there exists a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module homomorphism ψ from $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ to V_W with $\psi(\mathbf{1}) = 1_W$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(u(n)v) &= u(x)_n \psi(v) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}^1, n \in \mathbb{Z}, v \in V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0), \\ \psi(f(z)v) &= f(x)\psi(v) \quad \text{for } f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that ψ is a homomorphism of vertex $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -algebras. By Proposition 2.6, W is a type zero $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ -module.

On the other hand, let (W, Y_W) be a type zero $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ -module. From definition, (W, Y_W) is a module for $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p}(\ell, 0)$ viewed as a vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. For $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [Y(a^1, x_1), Y(b^1, x_2)] \\ = & Y(p(z)[a, b], x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\langle a, b \rangle \ell Y(p'(z)\mathbf{1}, x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\ & + \langle a, b \rangle \ell Y(p(z)\mathbf{1}, x_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & [Y_W(a^1, x_1), Y_W(b^1, x_2)] \\ = & Y_W(p(z)[a, b], x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\langle a, b \rangle \ell Y_W(p'(z)\mathbf{1}, x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\ & + \langle a, b \rangle \ell Y_W(p(z)\mathbf{1}, x_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\ = & p(x_2)Y_W([a, b](x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\langle a, b \rangle \ell p'(x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \\ & + \langle a, b \rangle \ell p(x_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)). \end{aligned}$$

The other relations are readily seen to hold. Therefore, W is a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ -module of level ℓ . $\square$

References

- [Bo] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986) 3068-3071.
- [Br] M. Bremner, Universal central extensions of elliptic affine Lie algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994) 6685-6692.
- [BCF] A. Bueno, B. Cox, and V. Futorny, Free field realization of elliptic affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, R) \oplus (\Omega_R^1/dR)$, *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **59** (2009) 1258-1270.
- [DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*, Progress in Math., Vol. **112**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [DLM] C. Dong, H.-S. Li, and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998) 571-600.

- [FKRW] E. Frenkel, V. Kac, A. Radul, and W. Wang, $W_{1+\infty}$ and $W(gl_\infty)$ with central charge N , *Commun. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995) 337–357.
- [FLM] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Applied Math., Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [FZ] I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992) 123–168.
- [K] V. Kac, *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*, University Lecture Series **10**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1997.
- [KN1] I. Krichever and S. Novikov, Algebras of Virasoro type, Riemann surfaces and the structures of soliton theory, *Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen* **21** (1987) 47–61.
- [KN2] I. Krichever and S. Novikov, Algebras of Virasoro type, Riemann surfaces and strings in Minkowski space, *Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen* **21** (1987) 46–63.
- [LL] J. Lepowsky and H.-S. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Math. **227**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
- [Li1] H.-S. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **109** (1996) 143–195.
- [Li2] H.-S. Li, Local systems of twisted vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and twisted modules, *Contemporary Math.* **193** (1996), 203–236.
- [Li3] H.-S. Li, Axiomatic G_1 -vertex algebras, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **5** (2003) 281–327.
- [Li4] H.-S. Li, Nonlocal vertex algebras generated by formal vertex operators, *Selecta Math. (New Series)* **11** (2005) 349–397.
- [Li5] H.-S. Li, A new construction of vertex algebras quasi modules for vertex algebras, *Advances in Math.* **202** (2006) 232–286.
- [Li6] H.-S. Li, Quantum vertex $\mathbb{F}((t))$ -algebras and their modules, arXiv:0903.0186 [math.QA].
- [MP] A. Meurman and M. Primc, *Annihilating Fields of Standard Modules of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})}$ and Combinatorial Identities*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **652**, 1999.

- [S1] O. Sheinman, Elliptic affine Lie algebras, *Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen* **24** (1990) 51-61.
- [S2] O. Sheinman, Highest-weight modules for affine Lie algebras on Riemann surfaces, *Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen* **29** (1995) 56-71.